



Illinois Environmental Protection Agency

Bureau of Water • 1021 N. Grand Avenue E. • P.O. Box 19276 • Springfield • Illinois • 62794-9276

Division of Water Pollution Control ANNUAL FACILITY INSPECTION REPORT

for NPDES Permit for Storm Water Discharges from Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4)

This fillable form may be completed online, a copy saved locally, printed and signed before it is submitted to the Compliance Assurance Section at the above address. Complete each section of this report.

Report Period: From March, 2019 To March, 2020

Permit No. ILR40 0270

MS4 OPERATOR INFORMATION: (As it appears on the current permit)

Name: ST. CLAIR COUNTY Mailing Address 1: 10 PUBLIC SQUARE
Mailing Address 2: County: St. Clair
City: BELLEVILLE State: IL Zip: 62220 Telephone: 618-233-1392
Contact Person: NORMAN ETLING Email Address: netling@stclaircohwy.com
(Person responsible for Annual Report)

Name(s) of governmental entity(ies) in which MS4 is located: (As it appears on the current permit)

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS MUST BE ADDRESSED.

A. Changes to best management practices (check appropriate BMP change(s) and attach information regarding change(s) to BMP and measurable goals.)

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. Public Education and Outreach ☐ | 4. Construction Site Runoff Control ☐ |
| 2. Public Participation/Involvement ☐ | 5. Post-Construction Runoff Control ☐ |
| 3. Illicit Discharge Detection & Elimination ☐ | 6. Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping ☐ |

B. Attach the status of compliance with permit conditions, an assessment of the appropriateness of your identified best management practices and progress towards achieving the statutory goal of reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP, and your identified measurable goals for each of the minimum control measures.

C. Attach results of information collected and analyzed, including monitoring data, if any during the reporting period.

D. Attach a summary of the storm water activities you plan to undertake during the next reporting cycle (including an implementation schedule.)

E. Attach notice that you are relying on another government entity to satisfy some of your permit obligations (if applicable).

F. Attach a list of construction projects that your entity has paid for during the reporting period.

Any person who knowingly makes a false, fictitious, or fraudulent material statement, orally or in writing, to the Illinois EPA commits a Class 4 felony. A second or subsequent offense after conviction is a Class 3 felony. (415 ILCS 5/44(h))

Owner Signature:

NORMAN ETLING

Printed Name:

Date:

4/17/2020

Title:

County Engineer

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO: epa.ms4annualinsp@illinois.gov

or Mail to: ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WATER POLLUTION CONTROL
COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE SECTION #19
1021 NORTH GRAND AVENUE EAST
POST OFFICE BOX 19276
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62794-9276

ADMINISTRATIVE REVISIONS TO THE NOTICE OF INTENT

Revisions to the original Notice of Intent (NOI) are reflected below.

MS4 Operator Mailing Address: Yes _____ No X

Persons Responsible: Yes _____ No X

Name: _____

Title: _____

Telephone Number: _____

Area of Responsibility: _____

Introduction

In 2003, St. Clair County (County), Illinois and its communities created a Co-Permittee Group to join forces in complying with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) for Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) Phase II requirements. As stated in the original 2003 Notice of Intent (NOI), the County and the Co-Permittee communities were to pool resources and work together to comply with the commitments made within the NOI for the benefit of all within the County.

The Co-Permittee Group was active during this reporting period. Significant progress was made sharing Best Management Practices (BMPs) for document retention, operation procedures, and maintenance activities.

Best Management Practice (BMP) Summary of 2019-2020 Activities

In 2003, each member of the Co-Permittee Group submitted a NOI in compliance with the first 5-year cycle. In 2008, a NOI was submitted in compliance with the next 5-year cycle, as written in the first MS4 permit. The 2009 NOI was submitted in compliance with additional requirements in the second MS4 permit. In 2013, a new NOI was submitted for the next 5-year cycle and was in place starting in March 2014. As stated in the 2003, 2008, 2009, and 2013 NOIs, each Co-Permittee Member identified certain activities to comply with the Phase II requirements. Below is an abbreviated summary of the BMPs that were written in the NOI for each of the minimum control measures.

March 2019-February 2020:

- 1) **A.1-** Storm water brochures for businesses, homeowners, children, and green infrastructures were to be promoted and displayed by each community in a public place.
- 2) **A.4-** St. Clair County sponsored a booth at the County Fair and/or Earth Day and distributed the storm water and green infrastructure brochures.
- 3) **A.5-** St. Clair County posted newsletters on the County Health Department website during school months. Co-Permittee Members distributed educational materials to schools in their communities. The amount of material distributed was to be tracked by the communities.
- 4) **B.3-** The Co-Permittee Group met three (3) times to review upcoming permit requirements, notice of intent, review storm water management program, operations training, and to develop and submit the Annual Report.
- 5) **B.5-** Co-Permittee Members solicited and encouraged public assistance in monitoring the community's storm water system. Public inquiries and complaints were responded to and recorded.
- 6) **B.6-** St. Clair County continued to promote programs related to storm water activities and recycling programs. The community tracked its participation.

- 7) **C.1-** Co-Permittee Members updated any new or revised storm sewers and performed stream observations at bridge inspections.
- 8) **C.5-** A survey of previously installed stencils was to be performed as well as replacing or placing any that needed inlet stencils.
- 9) **C.6-** Communication brochures were distributed to the community. Co-Permittee Members discussed any known illicit discharge ordinance compliance issues in the communities.
- 10) **C.9-** Co-Permittee Members developed brochures addressing specific storm water ordinance prohibited activities and distributed with educational brochures.
- 11) **D.1, E.2, E.4-** Community storm water ordinances were to be updated, if needed, and require a SWPPP on site plans disturbing more than one acre.
- 12) **D.2, F.1-** The Co-Permittee held an Operations Training class. Topics included a review of the history of drainage systems, the Clean Water Act and NPDES permits, and the impacts of storm water.
- 13) **D.5-** St. Clair County continued to maintain a storm water hotline number to address public concerns related to storm water issues. County tracked and reported the number of calls.
- 14) **F.6-** Communities reviewed operating procedures and BMPs and modified if necessary.

The following pages highlight changes made to the BMPs from the NOI, BMP status, and activities planned for the next reporting year. Additional information is also provided from the County and each Community.

It is to be noted that some BMPs will continue on to the next NOI, but some will be stopped, and others added to fulfill the requirements of the permit. The 2014-2019 NOI can be found on the IEPA website.

St. Clair County FOIA Officer for the reporting year:

Name: Sean Murley

Title: Assistant States Attorney

Telephone Number: (618) 825-2463

IEPA Annual Report for Storm Water Discharges from MS4 Communities- Period: March 2019 through February 2020

A. Changes to Best Management- Were there any changes to the BMPs?		B. The status of compliance with the permit, the appropriateness of the BMP and progress towards achieving reduction of discharged pollutants to the MEP, and identified measurable goals for each of the minimum control measures.		C. Provide results of information collected and analyzed, including monitoring data. Information attached?		D. Summarize the storm water activities you plan to undertake with an implementation schedule		
Comment	YES	NO		If attached information, describe.	YES	NO	Activity	Schedule
BMP No. A.1 - Distributed Paper Materials- Informational Brochures								
Milestone For Reporting Year: Promote the availability of brochures to the residents.								
		X	The County has brochures available to residents at the Highway Department and public library. Educational topics include storm water ordinances, lawn and garden care, storage and disposal of paints, and illicit discharge ordinance compliance. The St. Clair County storm water hotline number is included.			X	The County will continue to make educational brochures available to the public.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.
BMP No. A.4- Community Event- Sponsor Annual Booth at the Earth Day Festival								
Milestone For Reporting Year: St. Clair County sponsored a booth at the Earth Day Celebration.								
		X	St. Clair County sponsored a booth and distributed storm water materials at the Health Department Earth Day Celebration in April 2019. Approximately 150 storm water brochures were distributed.			X	St. Clair County is responsible for the booth and tracking the number of brochures handed out.	The 2020 Earth Day event will be in May.
BMP No. A.5- Classroom Education Material								
Milestone For Reporting Year: Communities distributed educational materials and tracked the number of brochures and other materials handed out to the schools.								
		X	St. Clair County posted educational newsletters on the Health Department's Website. Brochures were also distributed at the County festival on 5/7/19.	Review of Classroom Education Materials- See page 11		X	The communities will inform local schools that the newsletters are available on the Health Department's Website.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.

IEPA Annual Report for Storm Water Discharges from MS4 Communities- Period: March 2019 through February 2020

A. Changes to Best Management- Were there any changes to the BMPs?		B. The status of compliance with the permit, the appropriateness of the BMP and progress towards achieving reduction of discharged pollutants to the MEP, and identified measurable goals for each of the minimum control measures.		C. Provide results of information collected and analyzed, including monitoring data. Information attached?		D. Summarize the storm water activities you plan to undertake with an implementation schedule		
Comment	YES	NO		If attached information, describe.	YES	NO	Activity	Schedule
BMP No. B-3- Stakeholder's Meeting- Coordinate Meetings and Annual Reports								
Milestone For Reporting Year: Co-Permittee Group met three (3) times to complete training and to develop and submit the Annual Report.								
		X	Co-Permittee Meetings were held on Feb. 28th, May 2nd, and October 31st, 2019. Annual reports were provided to communities in May 2019 and submitted to IEPA before June 1st, 2019. Meeting topics included: Annual Reporting and O&M Manuals, Reducing Road Salt Use and Visual Water Sampling Training, and Operations Training. Two County representatives attended each of the three meetings.			X	The County will continue to meet with the Co-Permittee Group to share BMPs and training opportunities. The Co-Permittee Group has planned three compliance/training activities for 2019.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.
BMP No. B-5- Volunteer Monitoring- Solicit and Encourage Public Assistance in Monitoring the Community's Storm Water System & Storm Water Hotline								
Milestone For Reporting Year: Community will work to involve more public assistance in reporting storm water issues.								
		X	The County updated brochures and Websites with County contact information for the reporting of storm water issues. Any calls or emails are recorded and addressed.			X	The community will continue to respond to and record all public complaints of illicit discharge and/or dumping and storm water issues.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.
BMP No. B.6- Program Coordination- Participate in programs targeted at public awareness, including: Inlet Stenciling and Recycling								
Milestone for Reporting Year: St. Clair County continued to promote programs related to storm water activities. Communities tracked participation.								
		X	County will continue to promote programs related to storm water activities and recycling. Multiple media outlets will be used to communicate with municipalities.	Review of Community Events - See page 11		X	County will continue to promote programs related to storm water activities. Multiple media outlets will be used to communicate with municipalities.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.

IEPA Annual Report for Storm Water Discharges from MS4 Communities- Period: March 2019 through February 2020

A. Changes to Best Management- Were there any changes to the BMPs?		B. The status of compliance with the permit, the appropriateness of the BMP and progress towards achieving reduction of discharged pollutants to the MEP, and identified measurable goals for each of the minimum control measures.		C. Provide results of information collected and analyzed, including monitoring data. Information attached?		D. Summarize the storm water activities you plan to undertake with an implementation schedule		
Comment	YES	NO		If attached information, describe.	YES	NO	Activity	Schedule
BMP No. B.7- Other Public Involvement - the community will provide a public meeting annually for public input into for the MS4 program								
<u>Milestone for Reporting Year:</u> The communities will provide a public meeting annually for public input for the MS4 program.								
		X	The County held a public meeting to invite public input regarding the adequacy of the MS4 Program on 1/14/19. No comments were received. The County also distributed educational information. Environmental justice areas were discussed in a public meeting on 1/14/20.	Review of Other Public Involvement - See page 11		X	Community will continue to hold a public meeting to solicit public input regarding the adequacy of the MS4 program.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.
BMP No. C.1- Storm Sewer Map Preparation								
<u>Milestone for Reporting Year:</u> Co-Permittee member communities reviewed outfall maps and conducted stream observations annually at bridge inspections.								
		X	Co-Permittee communities reviewed their outfall maps for completeness and updated them if necessary. The County currently has 100% of the municipal storm sewer system, outfall locations, streams with TMDLs, and names of receiving waters mapped.			X	Communities will begin to update their storm system maps to include modifications to the system.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.
BMPs No. C.2, C.9- Regulatory Control Program- Ordinance language for Illicit discharge/public notification								
<u>Milestone for Reporting Year:</u> Communication brochures were distributed to the community.								
		X	St. Clair County distributed brochures at the Earth Day event and at the public input meeting. The County did not update ordinances in the 2019-2020 reporting year.			X	This BMP will not continue into the next NOI.	
BMP No. C.5- Inlet Stenciling								
<u>Milestone for Reporting Year:</u> Survey condition of inlet stencils.								
		X	The County has 95% of inlets marked and is reviewing all inlets, mapping them using GIS, and documenting inlet status as funding and staffing permits.	Review of Illicit Source Removal Procedures - See page 11		X	Communities will survey samples of stencils previously installed, replace ones that need to be replaced, and assure all new inlets are installed with stencils.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.

IEPA Annual Report for Storm Water Discharges from MS4 Communities- Period: March 2019 through February 2020

A. Changes to Best Management- Were there any changes to the BMPs?		B. The status of compliance with the permit, the appropriateness of the BMP and progress towards achieving reduction of discharged pollutants to the MEP, and identified measurable goals for each of the minimum control measures.		C. Provide results of information collected and analyzed, including monitoring data. Information attached?		D. Summarize the storm water activities you plan to undertake with an implementation schedule		
Comment	YES	NO		If attached information, describe.	YES	NO	Activity	Schedule
BMP No. C.6- Program Evaluation and Assessment								
Milestone for Reporting Year: <u>Perform illicit discharge detection and elimination in the Community's storm water system.</u>								
		X	Communities will perform stream observations during their annual bridge inspections or quarterly storm water sampling and take appropriate action if any illicit discharge is found. The County performed illicit discharge stream monitoring at Richland Creek near Hartman Road on 9/3/19, Little Mud Creek near Lickenbrock School on 4/25/19, and Mississippi River near Davis Street Ferry on 8/22/19.			X	Communities will continue to perform stream observations and address illicit discharge per the community ordinance.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.
BMP No. C.9- Public Notification								
Milestone for Reporting Year: <u>Community will update ordinance brochure.</u>								
		X	The County has the previous ordinance update brochures printed, but no ordinances were updated during this reporting year.			X	Ordinance brochures will be updated and distributed to the community throughout years 2015-2020	Brochure to be updated in 2020-2021 reporting year.
BMPs No. D.1, E.2, and E.4- Site Plan and Pre-Construction Review Procedures								
Milestone for Reporting Year: <u>Update storm water ordinance.</u>								
		X	The storm water ordinance was not updated during this reporting year.			X	This BMP will not continue into the next NOI.	
BMP No. D.1- Regulatory Control Program								
Milestone for Reporting Year: <u>Require SWPPP on all site plans disturbing more than one acre of land inside the Community.</u>								
		X	The County requires SWPPP on sites disturbing over 1 acre and enforces ordinance provisions.			X	The community will continue to require SWPPP on sites disturbing over 1 acre and verify the proper use of sediment and erosion control techniques.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.

IEPA Annual Report for Storm Water Discharges from MS4 Communities- Period: March 2019 through February 2020

A. Changes to Best Management- Were there any changes to the BMPs?		B. The status of compliance with the permit, the appropriateness of the BMP and progress towards achieving reduction of discharged pollutants to the MEP, and identified measurable goals for each of the minimum control measures.		C. Provide results of information collected and analyzed, including monitoring data. Information attached?		D. Summarize the storm water activities you plan to undertake with an implementation schedule		
Comment	YES	NO		If attached information, describe.	YES	NO	Activity	Schedule
BMP No. D.2- Erosion and Sediment Control BMPs								
<u>Milestone for Reporting Year:</u> Community will participate in BMP training during Annual Operations Training.								
		X	The County had two representatives participating in BMP training during the Annual Operations Training on October 31, 2019.			X	Community will continue to participate in BMP training.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.
BMP No. D.5- Storm Water Hotline								
<u>Milestone for Reporting Year:</u> County continued to maintain a storm water hotline number to address public concerns related to storm water issues. County tracked and reported the number of calls.								
		X	St. Clair County maintained the hotline number during the reporting period. Communities respond to complaints of residents for storm water related issues.			X	County and Communities will respond to calls and emails for storm water issues.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.
BMPs No. D.6 and E.5- Training for Construction Site Inspectors								
<u>Milestone for Reporting Year:</u> Construction Site Inspection training was provided this year.								
		X	No Construction Site Inspection training was required this year.			X	The last Construction Site Inspection training took place in April 2017. This BMP will not continue into the next NOI.	
BMP No. E.2- Regulatory Control Program								
<u>Milestone for Reporting Year:</u> Enforce Storm Water Ordinance.								
		X	Communities will continue to enforce their storm water ordinance and track changes made to the ordinance. The County had no changes this year.			X	Communities will continue to enforce their storm water ordinance.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.

IEPA Annual Report for Storm Water Discharges from MS4 Communities- Period: March 2019 through February 2020

A. Changes to Best Management- Were there any changes to the BMPs?		B. The status of compliance with the permit, the appropriateness of the BMP and progress towards achieving reduction of discharged pollutants to the MEP, and identified measurable goals for each of the minimum control measures.		C. Provide results of information collected and analyzed, including monitoring data. Information attached?		D. Summarize the storm water activities you plan to undertake with an implementation schedule		
Comment	YES	NO		If attached information, describe.	YES	NO	Activity	Schedule
BMP No. E.4- Pre-Construction Review of BMP Designs								
Milestone for Reporting Year: Review post-construction BMPs.								
		X	The County requires and reviews SWPPPs on site plans disturbing more than one (1) acre of land.			X	Communities will review the post construction BMPs on all sites that disturb more than one acre in land.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.
BMP No. F.1- Employee Training Program								
Milestone for Reporting Year: The Co-Permittee held an Operations Training class.								
		X	Training focused on a review of the history of drainage systems, the Clean Water Act and NPDES permits, and the impacts of storm water. The County had 2 representatives who attended operations training.			X	The Co-Permittee Group will continue holding an Operations Training class as part of education requirements.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.
BMP No. F.6- Other Municipal Operations Controls- Standard Operating Procedures								
Milestone for Reporting Year: Communities reviewed operating procedures and BMPs and modified if necessary.								
		X	Municipal operation procedures for the street department were reviewed and modified in November of 2019.			X	Operation procedures are reviewed annually. Co-Permittee meetings will include reference to review and update requirements.	Ongoing through 2020-2021 permit year.

COMMUNITY NAME: St. Clair County

PERMIT #: ILR400270

IEPA Annual Report for Storm Water Discharges from MS4 Communities- Period: March 2019 through February 2020

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

<u>BMP A.5</u>	<u>Classroom Educational Materials</u> The County has taken steps to educate school children on the severity of storm water pollution. The St. Clair County Health Department issues a newsletter each month and it is posted on the St. Clair County Health Department's Website. The newsletter consists of articles for students with a wide range of pollution topics, including storm water. The newsletter also lists upcoming recycling events and schools that have won past recycling contests.
<u>BMP B.6</u>	<u>Community Events - Recycling Programs</u> Throughout the year, St. Clair County sponsored community events that potentially could positively impact storm water quality. These activities include an ongoing "Clean Sweep" program that collected 726.87 tons of waste. The county Website includes a list of recycling sites for over 29 different materials. The County sponsors recycling of Christmas trees, paper, tires, paint, batteries, fluorescent bulbs, plastic, and hazardous waste. Nine dump truckloads of tires were collected for recycling, sponsored by the IEPA, on 9/17/19 and 9/18/19. The County also sponsors large item pickup.
<u>BMP B.7</u>	<u>Other Public Involvement</u> St. Clair County solicited public input regarding the adequacy of the MS4 program during the public input meeting on January 14, 2019. No feedback regarding the MS4 program was received. The public is encouraged to assist in monitoring the community's storm water system by reporting illegal dumping and discharge or storm water issues either directly to their municipality or through the County. The St. Clair County storm water hotline number is posted on the Website and is provided in educational brochures.
<u>BMP C.5</u>	<u>Illicit Source Removal Procedures</u> The St. Clair County Highway Department sponsors an Adopt-a-Highway Program throughout the County. By sponsoring this program, St. Clair County is eliminating a significant source of storm water pollution by keeping trash out of streams and keeping road ditches clear of debris for storm events.

ADDITIONAL COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES

(Make additional copies of form, as necessary)

Community Name: **St. Clair County**

Permit #: **ILR400270**

List any additional community-sponsored activities performed between March 2019 and February 2020 not listed in *Notice of Intent (NOI)* submittal, but which addresses one of the six minimum control measures:

The St. Clair County Board sponsored an abandoned property cleanup called “Clean Sweep” during the reporting year which collected 726.87 tons of debris and trash.

The County holds a seat on the Executive Advisory Committee of the East-West Gateway Council of Governments and attended all meetings in 2019.

The County Street Department cleaned 265 miles of ditches removing 15 truckloads of trash, 7 truckloads of tires, 17 truckloads of limbs, and 8 truckloads of appliances. Riprap was the BMP used.

The County graded 127 miles of ditches along East O’Fallon, the County line, Jefferson, Saxtown, and Floraville.

The County Street Department used a 20-cubic-yard dumpster for trash retrieved from road ditches and waterways and disposed of it 2 to 3 times per month.

The County participated in the IEPA-sponsored tire recycling event in on 9/17/19 and 9/18/19, delivering 9 truckloads of discarded tires.

The County has cleaned 23 catch basins since March 2019.

Circle which minimum control measure addressed:

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. Public Education and Outreach | 4. Construction Site Runoff Control |
| ② Public Participation/Involvement | 5. Post-Construction Runoff Control |
| ③ Illicit Discharge Detection & Elimination | ⑥ Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping |

C. Information Collected and Analyzed during 2019-2020 Reporting Year

The NPDES permit effective March 1, 2016, requires MS4 permittees serving populations over 25,000 persons to conduct quarterly laboratory testing of storm water discharge. St. Clair County, the City of O'Fallon, O'Fallon Township, Fairview Heights, and Caseyville Township banded together to share sampling costs and data. The partnership began storm water sampling during the first quarter of 2017. The samples were taken to a local accredited laboratory and tested for Fecal Coliform, Oil & Grease, Total Nitrogen, Total Phosphorous, Total Suspended Solids, and Chloride. The laboratory returned a reporting package that contains laboratory results and chain of custody forms in addition to standard report contents.

The partnership identified two locations for sampling each quarter within 48 hours of a ¼-inch-or-greater rainfall event in a 24-hour period. If a sample cannot be taken during the quarter, an explanation will be provided. The storm water monitoring program will help evaluate the effectiveness of BMPs implemented to reduce pollutant loadings and water quality impacts. When trends in the data are identified, BMPs can be adjusted accordingly.

The laboratory reporting forms and information collected are attached. Sampling outfall locations for the reporting year were:

- Ogles Creek at Old Collinsville Road
- Ogles Creek at Scott Troy Road

E. Reliance on Government Entities for Permit Obligations

Co-Permittee cooperation with County

F. List of Construction Projects during 2019-2020 Reporting Year

St. Clair County had one public construction project during the reporting year:

ILR10Z496 – Frank Scott Parkway East Widening (8/15/18 – still underway)
Approximately 5.33 acres

January 30, 2019

Jennifer Gerwitz
RJN Group
2000 South 8th St.
St. Louis, MO 63104
TEL: (314) 588-9764
FAX:



RE: NPDES/15-3069

WorkOrder: 19011342

Dear Jennifer Gerwitz:

TEKLAB, INC received 2 samples on 1/24/2019 9:52:00 AM for the analysis presented in the following report.

Samples are analyzed on an as received basis unless otherwise requested and documented. The sample results contained in this report relate only to the requested analytes of interest as directed on the chain of custody. NELAP accredited fields of testing are indicated by the letters NELAP under the Certification column. Unless otherwise documented within this report, Teklab Inc. analyzes samples utilizing the most current methods in compliance with 40CFR. All tests are performed in the Collinsville, IL laboratory unless otherwise noted in the Case Narrative.

All quality control criteria applicable to the test methods employed for this project have been satisfactorily met and are in accordance with NELAP except where noted. The following report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Teklab, Inc.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,



Marvin L. Darling
Project Manager
(618)344-1004 ex 41
mdarling@teklabinc.com



Report Contents

<http://www.teklabinc.com/>

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19011342

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 30-Jan-2019

This reporting package includes the following:

Cover Letter	1
Report Contents	2
Definitions	3
Case Narrative	4
Accreditations	5
Laboratory Results	6
Receiving Check List	8
Chain of Custody	Appended

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19011342

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 30-Jan-2019

Abbr Definition

- * Analytes on report marked with an asterisk are not NELAP accredited
- CCV Continuing calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument between recalibration.
- CRQL A Client Requested Quantitation Limit is a reporting limit that varies according to customer request. The CRQL may not be less than the MDL.
- DF Dilution factor is the dilution performed during analysis only and does not take into account any dilutions made during sample preparation. The reported result is final and includes all dilution factors.
- DNI Did not ignite
- DUP Laboratory duplicate is a replicate aliquot prepared under the same laboratory conditions and independently analyzed to obtain a measure of precision.
- ICV Initial calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument before sample analysis is initiated.
- IDPH IL Dept. of Public Health
- LCS Laboratory control sample is a sample matrix, free from the analytes of interest, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes and analyzed exactly like a sample to establish intra-laboratory or analyst specific precision and bias or to assess the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system.
- LCSD Laboratory control sample duplicate is a replicate laboratory control sample that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MBLK Method blank is a sample of a matrix similar to the batch of associated sample (when available) that is free from the analytes of interest and is processed simultaneously with and under the same conditions as samples through all steps of the analytical procedures, and in which no target analytes or interferences should present at concentrations that impact the analytical results for sample analyses.
- MDL "The method detection limit is defined as the minimum measured concentration of a substance that can be reported with 99% confidence that the measured concentration is distinguishable from method blank results."
- MS Matrix spike is an aliquot of matrix fortified (spiked) with known quantities of specific analytes that is subjected to the entire analytical procedures in order to determine the effect of the matrix on an approved test method's recovery system. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MSD Matrix spike duplicate means a replicate matrix spike that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MW Molecular weight
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- NELAP NELAP Accredited
- PQL Practical quantitation limit means the lowest level that can be reliably achieved within specified limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operation conditions.
- RL The reporting limit the lowest level that the data is displayed in the final report. The reporting limit may vary according to customer request or sample dilution. The reporting limit may not be less than the MDL.
- RPD Relative percent difference is a calculated difference between two recoveries (ie. MS/MSD). The acceptable recovery limit is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- SPK The spike is a known mass of target analyte added to a blank sample or sub-sample; used to determine recovery deficiency or for other quality control purposes.
- Surr Surrogates are compounds which are similar to the analytes of interest in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples.
- TIC Tentatively identified compound: Analytes tentatively identified in the sample by using a library search. Only results not in the calibration standard will be reported as tentatively identified compounds. Results for tentatively identified compounds that are not present in the calibration standard, but are assigned a specific chemical name based upon the library search, are calculated using total peak areas from reconstructed ion chromatograms and a response factor of one. The nearest Internal Standard is used for the calculation. The results of any TICs must be considered estimated, and are flagged with a "T". If the estimated result is above the calibration range it is flagged "ET"
- TNTC Too numerous to count (> 200 CFU)

Qualifiers

- | | |
|---|--|
| # - Unknown hydrocarbon | B - Analyte detected in associated Method Blank |
| C - RL shown is a Client Requested Quantitation Limit | E - Value above quantitation range |
| H - Holding times exceeded | I - Associated internal standard was outside method criteria |
| J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits | M - Manual Integration used to determine area response |
| ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit | R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits |
| S - Spike Recovery outside recovery limits | T - TIC(Tentatively identified compound) |
| X - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level | |



Case Narrative

<http://www.teklabinc.com/>

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19011342

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 30-Jan-2019

Cooler Receipt Temp: 1.02 °C

Locations

Collinsville

Address 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road
Collinsville, IL 62234-7425
Phone (618) 344-1004
Fax (618) 344-1005
Email jhriley@teklabinc.com

Collinsville Air

Address 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road
Collinsville, IL 62234-7425
Phone (618) 344-1004
Fax (618) 344-1005
Email EHurley@teklabinc.com

Springfield

Address 3920 Pintail Dr
Springfield, IL 62711-9415
Phone (217) 698-1004
Fax (217) 698-1005
Email KKlostermann@teklabinc.com

Chicago

Address 1319 Butterfield Rd.
Downers Grove, IL 60515
Phone (630) 324-6855
Fax
Email arenner@teklabinc.com

Kansas City

Address 8421 Nieman Road
Lenexa, KS 66214
Phone (913) 541-1998
Fax (913) 541-1998
Email jhriley@teklabinc.com

Client: RJN Group**Work Order:** 19011342**Client Project:** NPDES/15-3069**Report Date:** 30-Jan-2019

State	Dept	Cert #	NELAP	Exp Date	Lab
Illinois	IEPA	100226	NELAP	1/31/2019	Collinsville
Kansas	KDHE	E-10374	NELAP	4/30/2019	Collinsville
Louisiana	LDEQ	166493	NELAP	6/30/2019	Collinsville
Louisiana	LDEQ	166578	NELAP	6/30/2019	Collinsville
Oklahoma	ODEQ	9978	NELAP	8/31/2019	Collinsville
Arkansas	ADEQ	88-0966		3/14/2019	Collinsville
Illinois	IDPH	17584		5/31/2019	Collinsville
Indiana	ISDH	C-IL-06		2/28/2019	Collinsville
Kentucky	KDEP	98006		12/31/2019	Collinsville
Kentucky	UST	0073		1/31/2019	Collinsville
Louisiana	LDPH	LA016		12/31/2019	Collinsville
Missouri	MDNR	930		1/31/2022	Collinsville
Missouri	MDNR	00930		5/31/2019	Collinsville
Tennessee	TDEC	04905		1/31/2019	Collinsville

Client: RJN Group
Client Project: NPDES/15-3069
Lab ID: 19011342-001
Matrix: AQUEOUS

Work Order: 19011342
Report Date: 30-Jan-2019
Client Sample ID: Upstream
Collection Date: 01/24/2019 9:00

Analyses	Certification	RL	Qual	Result	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
STANDARD METHODS 22ND ED. 9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER								
Fecal Coliform	*	10		410	CFU/100ml	10	01/24/2019 12:57	R257382
EPA 1664A								
Hexane Extractable Material	NELAP	6		< 6	mg/L	1	01/25/2019 12:09	R257426
EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R2.0								
Nitrogen, Total	*	1.05		1.36	mg/L	1	01/25/2019 0:00	R257383
EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL)								
Phosphorus, Total (as P)	NELAP	0.100		< 0.100	mg/L	1	01/25/2019 11:36	149779
STANDARD METHODS 2540 D 1997								
Total Suspended Solids	NELAP	6		< 6	mg/L	1	01/24/2019 14:10	R257347
STANDARD METHODS 4500-CL E (TOTAL) 1997								
Chloride	NELAP	50		426	mg/L	10	01/25/2019 19:43	R257461

Client: RJN Group
Client Project: NPDES/15-3069
Lab ID: 19011342-002
Matrix: AQUEOUS

Work Order: 19011342
Report Date: 30-Jan-2019
Client Sample ID: Downstream
Collection Date: 01/24/2019 9:20

Analyses	Certification	RL	Qual	Result	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
STANDARD METHODS 22ND ED. 9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER								
Fecal Coliform	*	10		470	CFU/100ml	10	01/24/2019 12:58	R257382
EPA 1664A								
Hexane Extractable Material	NELAP	6		< 6	mg/L	1	01/25/2019 12:09	R257426
EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R2.0								
Nitrogen, Total	*	1.05		3.05	mg/L	1	01/25/2019 0:00	R257383
EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL)								
Phosphorus, Total (as P)	NELAP	0.100		0.276	mg/L	1	01/25/2019 11:39	149779
STANDARD METHODS 2540 D 1997								
Total Suspended Solids	NELAP	6		15	mg/L	1	01/24/2019 14:10	R257347
STANDARD METHODS 4500-CL E (TOTAL) 1997								
Chloride	NELAP	10		80	mg/L	2	01/25/2019 19:06	R257461

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19011342

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 30-Jan-2019

Carrier: Sanjiv Vajjala

Received By: MEK

Completed by:

On:

24-Jan-2019

Mary E. Kemp

Reviewed by:

On:

24-Jan-2019

Elizabeth A. Hurley

Pages to follow:

Chain of custody

Extra pages included

Shipping container/cooler in good condition?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Not Present ☐

Temp °C **1.02**

Type of thermal preservation?

None ☐

Ice ☒

Blue Ice ☐

Dry Ice ☐

Chain of custody present?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Chain of custody agrees with sample labels?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Samples in proper container/bottle?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Sample containers intact?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Sufficient sample volume for indicated test?

Yes ☒

No ☐

All samples received within holding time?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Reported field parameters measured:

Field ☐

Lab ☐

NA ☒

Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance?

Yes ☒

No ☐

When thermal preservation is required, samples are compliant with a temperature between 0.1°C - 6.0°C, or when samples are received on ice the same day as collected.

Water – at least one vial per sample has zero headspace?

Yes ☐

No ☐

No VOA vials ☒

Water - TOX containers have zero headspace?

Yes ☐

No ☐

No TOX containers ☒

Water - pH acceptable upon receipt?

Yes ☒

No ☐

NA ☐

NPDES/CWA TCN interferences checked/treated in the field?

Yes ☐

No ☐

NA ☒

Any No responses must be detailed below or on the COC.

pg. of Work order # 19011342

Work order # 19011342

Client:	RJN Group	Samples on: ☒ ICE ☐ BLUE ICE ☐ NO ICE <u>1.02</u> °C
Address:	2000 South 8th St.	Preserved in: ☐ LAB ☒ FIELD
City / State / Zip	St. Louis, MO 63104	Lab Notes: <i>OK 11/19</i>
Contact:	Jennifer Gerwitz	FOR LAB USE ONLY
E-Mail:	jgerwitz@rjnmail.com	
Phone:	(314) 588-9764	Client Comments
Fax:		

Are these samples known to be involved in litigation? If yes, a surcharge will apply ☐ Yes ☒ No

Are these samples known to be hazardous? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Are there any required reporting limits to be met on the requested analysis?. If yes, please provide limits in the comment section. ☐ Yes ☒ No

rain fall 0.85"

[illegible]

Relinquished By	Date/Time	Received By	Date/Time
Sanjiv Vajjala	1/24/19 9:52	Mary Kemp	1/24/19 9:52

BottleOrder: 47973



May 06, 2019

Jennifer Gerwitz
RJN Group
2000 South 8th St.
St. Louis, MO 63104
TEL: (314) 588-9764
FAX:



RE: NPDES/15-3069

WorkOrder: 19041664

Dear Jennifer Gerwitz:

TEKLAB, INC received 2 samples on 4/24/2019 1:20:00 PM for the analysis presented in the following report.

Samples are analyzed on an as received basis unless otherwise requested and documented. The sample results contained in this report relate only to the requested analytes of interest as directed on the chain of custody. NELAP accredited fields of testing are indicated by the letters NELAP under the Certification column. Unless otherwise documented within this report, Teklab Inc. analyzes samples utilizing the most current methods in compliance with 40CFR. All tests are performed in the Collinsville, IL laboratory unless otherwise noted in the Case Narrative.

All quality control criteria applicable to the test methods employed for this project have been satisfactorily met and are in accordance with NELAP except where noted. The following report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Teklab, Inc.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,



Marvin L. Darling
Project Manager
(618)344-1004 ex 41
mdarling@teklabinc.com



Report Contents

<http://www.teklabinc.com/>

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19041664

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 06-May-2019

This reporting package includes the following:

Cover Letter	1
Report Contents	2
Definitions	3
Case Narrative	4
Accreditations	5
Laboratory Results	6
Receiving Check List	8
Chain of Custody	Appended

Client: RJN Group**Work Order:** 19041664**Client Project:** NPDES/15-3069**Report Date:** 06-May-2019**Abbr Definition**

- * Analytes on report marked with an asterisk are not NELAP accredited
- CCV Continuing calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument between recalibration.
- CRQL A Client Requested Quantitation Limit is a reporting limit that varies according to customer request. The CRQL may not be less than the MDL.
- DF Dilution factor is the dilution performed during analysis only and does not take into account any dilutions made during sample preparation. The reported result is final and includes all dilution factors.
- DNI Did not ignite
- DUP Laboratory duplicate is a replicate aliquot prepared under the same laboratory conditions and independently analyzed to obtain a measure of precision.
- ICV Initial calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument before sample analysis is initiated.
- IDPH IL Dept. of Public Health
- LCS Laboratory control sample is a sample matrix, free from the analytes of interest, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes and analyzed exactly like a sample to establish intra-laboratory or analyst specific precision and bias or to assess the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system.
- LCSD Laboratory control sample duplicate is a replicate laboratory control sample that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MBLK Method blank is a sample of a matrix similar to the batch of associated sample (when available) that is free from the analytes of interest and is processed simultaneously with and under the same conditions as samples through all steps of the analytical procedures, and in which no target analytes or interferences should present at concentrations that impact the analytical results for sample analyses.
- MDL "The method detection limit is defined as the minimum measured concentration of a substance that can be reported with 99% confidence that the measured concentration is distinguishable from method blank results."
- MS Matrix spike is an aliquot of matrix fortified (spiked) with known quantities of specific analytes that is subjected to the entire analytical procedures in order to determine the effect of the matrix on an approved test method's recovery system. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MSD Matrix spike duplicate means a replicate matrix spike that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MW Molecular weight
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- NELAP NELAP Accredited
- PQL Practical quantitation limit means the lowest level that can be reliably achieved within specified limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operation conditions.
- RL The reporting limit the lowest level that the data is displayed in the final report. The reporting limit may vary according to customer request or sample dilution. The reporting limit may not be less than the MDL.
- RPD Relative percent difference is a calculated difference between two recoveries (ie. MS/MSD). The acceptable recovery limit is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- SPK The spike is a known mass of target analyte added to a blank sample or sub-sample; used to determine recovery deficiency or for other quality control purposes.
- Surr Surrogates are compounds which are similar to the analytes of interest in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples.
- TIC Tentatively identified compound: Analytes tentatively identified in the sample by using a library search. Only results not in the calibration standard will be reported as tentatively identified compounds. Results for tentatively identified compounds that are not present in the calibration standard, but are assigned a specific chemical name based upon the library search, are calculated using total peak areas from reconstructed ion chromatograms and a response factor of one. The nearest Internal Standard is used for the calculation. The results of any TICs must be considered estimated, and are flagged with a "T". If the estimated result is above the calibration range it is flagged "ET"
- TNTC Too numerous to count (> 200 CFU)

Qualifiers

- | | |
|---|--|
| # - Unknown hydrocarbon | B - Analyte detected in associated Method Blank |
| C - RL shown is a Client Requested Quantitation Limit | E - Value above quantitation range |
| H - Holding times exceeded | I - Associated internal standard was outside method criteria |
| J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits | M - Manual Integration used to determine area response |
| ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit | R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits |
| S - Spike Recovery outside recovery limits | T - TIC(Tentatively identified compound) |
| X - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level | |



Case Narrative

<http://www.teklabinc.com/>

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19041664

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 06-May-2019

Cooler Receipt Temp: 15.22 °C

Locations

Collinsville

Address 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road
Collinsville, IL 62234-7425
Phone (618) 344-1004
Fax (618) 344-1005
Email jhriley@teklabinc.com

Collinsville Air

Address 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road
Collinsville, IL 62234-7425
Phone (618) 344-1004
Fax (618) 344-1005
Email EHurley@teklabinc.com

Springfield

Address 3920 Pintail Dr
Springfield, IL 62711-9415
Phone (217) 698-1004
Fax (217) 698-1005
Email KKlostermann@teklabinc.com

Chicago

Address 1319 Butterfield Rd.
Downers Grove, IL 60515
Phone (630) 324-6855
Fax
Email arenner@teklabinc.com

Kansas City

Address 8421 Nieman Road
Lenexa, KS 66214
Phone (913) 541-1998
Fax (913) 541-1998
Email jhriley@teklabinc.com

Client: RJN Group**Work Order:** 19041664**Client Project:** NPDES/15-3069**Report Date:** 06-May-2019

State	Dept	Cert #	NELAP	Exp Date	Lab
Illinois	IEPA	100226	NELAP	1/31/2020	Collinsville
Kansas	KDHE	E-10374	NELAP	4/30/2019	Collinsville
Louisiana	LDEQ	166493	NELAP	6/30/2019	Collinsville
Louisiana	LDEQ	166578	NELAP	6/30/2019	Collinsville
Oklahoma	ODEQ	9978	NELAP	8/31/2019	Collinsville
Arkansas	ADEQ	88-0966		3/14/2020	Collinsville
Illinois	IDPH	17584		5/31/2019	Collinsville
Indiana	ISDH	C-IL-06		1/31/2020	Collinsville
Kentucky	KDEP	98006		12/31/2019	Collinsville
Kentucky	UST	0073		1/31/2019	Collinsville
Louisiana	LDPH	LA016		12/31/2019	Collinsville
Missouri	MDNR	930		1/31/2022	Collinsville
Missouri	MDNR	00930		5/31/2019	Collinsville
Tennessee	TDEC	04905		1/31/2019	Collinsville

Client: RJN Group
Client Project: NPDES/15-3069
Lab ID: 19041664-001
Matrix: AQUEOUS

Work Order: 19041664
Report Date: 06-May-2019
Client Sample ID: Upstream
Collection Date: 04/24/2019 12:22

Analyses	Certification	RL	Qual	Result	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
STANDARD METHODS 22ND ED. 9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER								
Fecal Coliform	*	100		800	CFU/100ml	100	04/24/2019 15:42	R261002
EPA 1664A								
Hexane Extractable Material	NELAP	5		< 5	mg/L	1	04/30/2019 13:28	R261232
EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R2.0								
Nitrogen, Total	*	1.05		1.30	mg/L	1	04/26/2019 0:00	R261065
EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL)								
Phosphorus, Total (as P)	NELAP	0.100		< 0.100	mg/L	1	04/26/2019 12:15	152638
STANDARD METHODS 2540 D 1997								
Total Suspended Solids	NELAP	6		< 6	mg/L	1	04/29/2019 11:54	R261160
STANDARD METHODS 4500-CL E (TOTAL) 1997								
Chloride	NELAP	10		55	mg/L	2	05/03/2019 20:27	R261392

Client: RJN Group
Client Project: NPDES/15-3069
Lab ID: 19041664-002
Matrix: AQUEOUS

Work Order: 19041664
Report Date: 06-May-2019
Client Sample ID: Downstream
Collection Date: 04/24/2019 12:49

Analyses	Certification	RL	Qual	Result	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
STANDARD METHODS 22ND ED. 9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER								
Fecal Coliform	*	100		900	CFU/100ml	100	04/24/2019 15:42	R261002
EPA 1664A								
Hexane Extractable Material	NELAP	6		< 6	mg/L	1	04/30/2019 13:29	R261232
EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R2.0								
Nitrogen, Total	*	1.05		3.50	mg/L	1	04/26/2019 0:00	R261065
EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL)								
Phosphorus, Total (as P)	NELAP	0.100		< 0.100	mg/L	1	04/26/2019 12:23	152638
STANDARD METHODS 2540 D 1997								
Total Suspended Solids	NELAP	6		76	mg/L	1	04/29/2019 11:54	R261160
STANDARD METHODS 4500-CL E (TOTAL) 1997								
Chloride	NELAP	10		72	mg/L	2	05/01/2019 11:44	R261362



Receiving Check List

<http://www.teklabinc.com/>

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19041664

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 06-May-2019

Carrier: Employee

Received By: MEK

Completed by:

Reviewed by:

On:

On:

24-Apr-2019

24-Apr-2019

Amber M. Dilallo

Elizabeth A. Hurley

Pages to follow:

Chain of custody

1

Extra pages included

0

Shipping container/cooler in good condition?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Not Present ☐

Temp °C 15.22

Type of thermal preservation?

None ☐

Ice ☒

Blue Ice ☐

Dry Ice ☐

Chain of custody present?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Chain of custody agrees with sample labels?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Samples in proper container/bottle?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Sample containers intact?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Sufficient sample volume for indicated test?

Yes ☒

No ☐

All samples received within holding time?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Reported field parameters measured:

Field ☐

Lab ☐

NA ☒

Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance?

Yes ☒

No ☐

When thermal preservation is required, samples are compliant with a temperature between 0.1°C - 6.0°C, or when samples are received on ice the same day as collected.

Water - at least one vial per sample has zero headspace?

Yes ☐

No ☐

No VOA vials ☒

Water - TOX containers have zero headspace?

Yes ☐

No ☐

No TOX containers ☒

Water - pH acceptable upon receipt?

Yes ☒

No ☐

NA ☐

NPDES/CWA TCN interferences checked/treated in the field?

Yes ☐

No ☐

NA ☒

Any No responses must be detailed below or on the COC.

July 22, 2019

Jennifer Gerwitz
RJN Group
2000 South 8th St.
St. Louis, MO 63104
TEL: (314) 588-9764
FAX:



RE: NPDES/15-3069

WorkOrder: 19071004

Dear Jennifer Gerwitz:

TEKLAB, INC received 2 samples on 7/16/2019 11:26:00 AM for the analysis presented in the following report.

Samples are analyzed on an as received basis unless otherwise requested and documented. The sample results contained in this report relate only to the requested analytes of interest as directed on the chain of custody. NELAP accredited fields of testing are indicated by the letters NELAP under the Certification column. Unless otherwise documented within this report, Teklab Inc. analyzes samples utilizing the most current methods in compliance with 40CFR. All tests are performed in the Collinsville, IL laboratory unless otherwise noted in the Case Narrative.

All quality control criteria applicable to the test methods employed for this project have been satisfactorily met and are in accordance with NELAP except where noted. The following report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Teklab, Inc.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,



Marvin L. Darling
Project Manager
(618)344-1004 ex 41
mdarling@teklabinc.com



Report Contents

<http://www.teklabinc.com/>

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19071004

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 22-Jul-2019

This reporting package includes the following:

Cover Letter	1
Report Contents	2
Definitions	3
Case Narrative	4
Accreditations	5
Laboratory Results	6
Receiving Check List	8
Chain of Custody	Appended

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19071004

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 22-Jul-2019

Abbr Definition

- * Analytes on report marked with an asterisk are not NELAP accredited
- CCV Continuing calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument between recalibration.
- CRQL A Client Requested Quantitation Limit is a reporting limit that varies according to customer request. The CRQL may not be less than the MDL.
- DF Dilution factor is the dilution performed during analysis only and does not take into account any dilutions made during sample preparation. The reported result is final and includes all dilution factors.
- DNI Did not ignite
- DUP Laboratory duplicate is a replicate aliquot prepared under the same laboratory conditions and independently analyzed to obtain a measure of precision.
- ICV Initial calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument before sample analysis is initiated.
- IDPH IL Dept. of Public Health
- LCS Laboratory control sample is a sample matrix, free from the analytes of interest, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes and analyzed exactly like a sample to establish intra-laboratory or analyst specific precision and bias or to assess the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system.
- LCSD Laboratory control sample duplicate is a replicate laboratory control sample that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MBLK Method blank is a sample of a matrix similar to the batch of associated sample (when available) that is free from the analytes of interest and is processed simultaneously with and under the same conditions as samples through all steps of the analytical procedures, and in which no target analytes or interferences should present at concentrations that impact the analytical results for sample analyses.
- MDL "The method detection limit is defined as the minimum measured concentration of a substance that can be reported with 99% confidence that the measured concentration is distinguishable from method blank results."
- MS Matrix spike is an aliquot of matrix fortified (spiked) with known quantities of specific analytes that is subjected to the entire analytical procedures in order to determine the effect of the matrix on an approved test method's recovery system. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MSD Matrix spike duplicate means a replicate matrix spike that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MW Molecular weight
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- NELAP NELAP Accredited
- PQL Practical quantitation limit means the lowest level that can be reliably achieved within specified limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operation conditions.
- RL The reporting limit the lowest level that the data is displayed in the final report. The reporting limit may vary according to customer request or sample dilution. The reporting limit may not be less than the MDL.
- RPD Relative percent difference is a calculated difference between two recoveries (ie. MS/MSD). The acceptable recovery limit is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- SPK The spike is a known mass of target analyte added to a blank sample or sub-sample; used to determine recovery deficiency or for other quality control purposes.
- Surr Surrogates are compounds which are similar to the analytes of interest in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples.
- TIC Tentatively identified compound: Analytes tentatively identified in the sample by using a library search. Only results not in the calibration standard will be reported as tentatively identified compounds. Results for tentatively identified compounds that are not present in the calibration standard, but are assigned a specific chemical name based upon the library search, are calculated using total peak areas from reconstructed ion chromatograms and a response factor of one. The nearest Internal Standard is used for the calculation. The results of any TICs must be considered estimated, and are flagged with a "T". If the estimated result is above the calibration range it is flagged "ET"
- TNTC Too numerous to count (> 200 CFU)

Qualifiers

- | | |
|---|--|
| # - Unknown hydrocarbon | B - Analyte detected in associated Method Blank |
| C - RL shown is a Client Requested Quantitation Limit | E - Value above quantitation range |
| H - Holding times exceeded | I - Associated internal standard was outside method criteria |
| J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits | M - Manual Integration used to determine area response |
| ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit | R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits |
| S - Spike Recovery outside recovery limits | T - TIC(Tentatively identified compound) |
| X - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level | |



Case Narrative

<http://www.teklabinc.com/>

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19071004

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 22-Jul-2019

Cooler Receipt Temp: 22.4 °C

Locations

Collinsville

Address 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road
Collinsville, IL 62234-7425
Phone (618) 344-1004
Fax (618) 344-1005
Email jhriley@teklabinc.com

Collinsville Air

Address 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road
Collinsville, IL 62234-7425
Phone (618) 344-1004
Fax (618) 344-1005
Email EHurley@teklabinc.com

Springfield

Address 3920 Pintail Dr
Springfield, IL 62711-9415
Phone (217) 698-1004
Fax (217) 698-1005
Email KKlostermann@teklabinc.com

Chicago

Address 1319 Butterfield Rd.
Downers Grove, IL 60515
Phone (630) 324-6855
Fax
Email arenner@teklabinc.com

Kansas City

Address 8421 Nieman Road
Lenexa, KS 66214
Phone (913) 541-1998
Fax (913) 541-1998
Email jhriley@teklabinc.com

Client: RJN Group**Work Order:** 19071004**Client Project:** NPDES/15-3069**Report Date:** 22-Jul-2019

State	Dept	Cert #	NELAP	Exp Date	Lab
Illinois	IEPA	100226	NELAP	1/31/2020	Collinsville
Kansas	KDHE	E-10374	NELAP	4/30/2020	Collinsville
Louisiana	LDEQ	166493	NELAP	6/30/2020	Collinsville
Louisiana	LDEQ	166578	NELAP	6/30/2020	Collinsville
Oklahoma	ODEQ	9978	NELAP	8/31/2019	Collinsville
Arkansas	ADEQ	88-0966		3/14/2020	Collinsville
Illinois	IDPH	17584		5/31/2019	Collinsville
Indiana	ISDH	C-IL-06		1/31/2020	Collinsville
Kentucky	KDEP	98006		12/31/2019	Collinsville
Kentucky	UST	0073		1/31/2020	Collinsville
Louisiana	LDPH	LA016		12/31/2019	Collinsville
Missouri	MDNR	930		1/31/2022	Collinsville
Missouri	MDNR	00930		5/31/2019	Collinsville
Tennessee	TDEC	04905		1/31/2020	Collinsville

Client: RJN Group
Client Project: NPDES/15-3069
Lab ID: 19071004-001
Matrix: AQUEOUS

Work Order: 19071004
Report Date: 22-Jul-2019
Client Sample ID: Upstream
Collection Date: 07/16/2019 10:24

Analyses	Certification	RL	Qual	Result	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
STANDARD METHODS 22ND ED. 9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER								
Fecal Coliform	*	10		170	CFU/100ml	10	07/16/2019 14:56	R264428
EPA 1664A								
Hexane Extractable Material	NELAP	6		< 6	mg/L	1	07/19/2019 10:04	R264583
EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R2.0								
Nitrogen, Total	*	1.05		< 1.05	mg/L	1	07/17/2019 0:00	R264425
EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL)								
Phosphorus, Total (as P)	NELAP	0.100		< 0.100	mg/L	1	07/17/2019 10:55	155388
STANDARD METHODS 2540 D 1997								
Total Suspended Solids	NELAP	6		< 6	mg/L	1	07/18/2019 16:10	R264505
STANDARD METHODS 4500-CL E (TOTAL) 1997								
Chloride	NELAP	20		91	mg/L	5	07/17/2019 18:19	R264470

Client: RJN Group
Client Project: NPDES/15-3069
Lab ID: 19071004-002
Matrix: AQUEOUS

Work Order: 19071004
Report Date: 22-Jul-2019
Client Sample ID: Downstream
Collection Date: 07/16/2019 11:01

Analyses	Certification	RL	Qual	Result	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
STANDARD METHODS 22ND ED. 9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER								
Fecal Coliform	*	100		3600	CFU/100ml	100	07/16/2019 14:56	R264428
EPA 1664A								
Hexane Extractable Material	NELAP	6		< 6	mg/L	1	07/19/2019 10:05	R264583
EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R2.0								
Nitrogen, Total	*	1.05		1.77	mg/L	1	07/17/2019 0:00	R264425
EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL)								
Phosphorus, Total (as P)	NELAP	0.100		0.179	mg/L	1	07/17/2019 11:05	155388
STANDARD METHODS 2540 D 1997								
Total Suspended Solids	NELAP	6		< 6	mg/L	1	07/18/2019 16:10	R264505
STANDARD METHODS 4500-CL E (TOTAL) 1997								
Chloride	NELAP	8		37	mg/L	2	07/17/2019 14:22	R264470



Receiving Check List

<http://www.teklabinc.com/>

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19071004

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 22-Jul-2019

Carrier: Employee

Received By: AMD

Completed by:

Reviewed by:

On:

On:

16-Jul-2019

16-Jul-2019

Amber M. Dilallo

Elizabeth A. Hurley

Pages to follow:

Chain of custody

1

Extra pages included

0

Shipping container/cooler in good condition?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Not Present ☐

Temp °C 22.4

Type of thermal preservation?

None ☐

Ice ☒

Blue Ice ☐

Dry Ice ☐

Chain of custody present?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Chain of custody agrees with sample labels?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Samples in proper container/bottle?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Sample containers intact?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Sufficient sample volume for indicated test?

Yes ☒

No ☐

All samples received within holding time?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Reported field parameters measured:

Field ☐

Lab ☐

NA ☒

Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance?

Yes ☒

No ☐

When thermal preservation is required, samples are compliant with a temperature between 0.1°C - 6.0°C, or when samples are received on ice the same day as collected.

Water – at least one vial per sample has zero headspace?

Yes ☐

No ☐

No VOA vials ☒

Water - TOX containers have zero headspace?

Yes ☐

No ☐

No TOX containers ☒

Water - pH acceptable upon receipt?

Yes ☒

No ☐

NA ☐

NPDES/CWA TCN interferences checked/treated in the field?

Yes ☐

No ☐

NA ☒

Any No responses must be detailed below or on the COC.

pg. _____ of _____ Work order # 19071004[illegible]

BottleOrder: 51607



October 28, 2019

Jennifer Gerwitz
RJN Group
2000 South 8th St.
St. Louis, MO 63104
TEL: (314) 588-9764
FAX:



RE: NPDES/15-3069

WorkOrder: 19101439

Dear Jennifer Gerwitz:

TEKLAB, INC received 2 samples on 10/21/2019 12:17:00 PM for the analysis presented in the following report.

Samples are analyzed on an as received basis unless otherwise requested and documented. The sample results contained in this report relate only to the requested analytes of interest as directed on the chain of custody. NELAP accredited fields of testing are indicated by the letters NELAP under the Certification column. Unless otherwise documented within this report, Teklab Inc. analyzes samples utilizing the most current methods in compliance with 40CFR. All tests are performed in the Collinsville, IL laboratory unless otherwise noted in the Case Narrative.

All quality control criteria applicable to the test methods employed for this project have been satisfactorily met and are in accordance with NELAP except where noted. The following report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Teklab, Inc.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,



Marvin L. Darling
Project Manager
(618)344-1004 ex 41
mdarling@teklabinc.com



Report Contents

<http://www.teklabinc.com/>

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19101439

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 28-Oct-2019

This reporting package includes the following:

Cover Letter	1
Report Contents	2
Definitions	3
Case Narrative	4
Accreditations	5
Laboratory Results	6
Receiving Check List	8
Chain of Custody	Appended

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19101439

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 28-Oct-2019

Abbr Definition

- * Analytes on report marked with an asterisk are not NELAP accredited
- CCV Continuing calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument between recalibration.
- CRQL A Client Requested Quantitation Limit is a reporting limit that varies according to customer request. The CRQL may not be less than the MDL.
- DF Dilution factor is the dilution performed during analysis only and does not take into account any dilutions made during sample preparation. The reported result is final and includes all dilution factors.
- DNI Did not ignite
- DUP Laboratory duplicate is a replicate aliquot prepared under the same laboratory conditions and independently analyzed to obtain a measure of precision.
- ICV Initial calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument before sample analysis is initiated.
- IDPH IL Dept. of Public Health
- LCS Laboratory control sample is a sample matrix, free from the analytes of interest, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes and analyzed exactly like a sample to establish intra-laboratory or analyst specific precision and bias or to assess the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system.
- LCSD Laboratory control sample duplicate is a replicate laboratory control sample that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MBLK Method blank is a sample of a matrix similar to the batch of associated sample (when available) that is free from the analytes of interest and is processed simultaneously with and under the same conditions as samples through all steps of the analytical procedures, and in which no target analytes or interferences should present at concentrations that impact the analytical results for sample analyses.
- MDL "The method detection limit is defined as the minimum measured concentration of a substance that can be reported with 99% confidence that the measured concentration is distinguishable from method blank results."
- MS Matrix spike is an aliquot of matrix fortified (spiked) with known quantities of specific analytes that is subjected to the entire analytical procedures in order to determine the effect of the matrix on an approved test method's recovery system. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MSD Matrix spike duplicate means a replicate matrix spike that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MW Molecular weight
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- NELAP NELAP Accredited
- PQL Practical quantitation limit means the lowest level that can be reliably achieved within specified limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operation conditions.
- RL The reporting limit the lowest level that the data is displayed in the final report. The reporting limit may vary according to customer request or sample dilution. The reporting limit may not be less than the MDL.
- RPD Relative percent difference is a calculated difference between two recoveries (ie. MS/MSD). The acceptable recovery limit is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- SPK The spike is a known mass of target analyte added to a blank sample or sub-sample; used to determine recovery deficiency or for other quality control purposes.
- Surr Surrogates are compounds which are similar to the analytes of interest in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples.
- TIC Tentatively identified compound: Analytes tentatively identified in the sample by using a library search. Only results not in the calibration standard will be reported as tentatively identified compounds. Results for tentatively identified compounds that are not present in the calibration standard, but are assigned a specific chemical name based upon the library search, are calculated using total peak areas from reconstructed ion chromatograms and a response factor of one. The nearest Internal Standard is used for the calculation. The results of any TICs must be considered estimated, and are flagged with a "T". If the estimated result is above the calibration range it is flagged "ET"
- TNTC Too numerous to count (> 200 CFU)

Qualifiers

- | | |
|---|--|
| # - Unknown hydrocarbon | B - Analyte detected in associated Method Blank |
| C - RL shown is a Client Requested Quantitation Limit | E - Value above quantitation range |
| H - Holding times exceeded | I - Associated internal standard was outside method criteria |
| J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits | M - Manual Integration used to determine area response |
| ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit | R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits |
| S - Spike Recovery outside recovery limits | T - TIC(Tentatively identified compound) |
| X - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level | |



Case Narrative

<http://www.teklabinc.com/>

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19101439

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 28-Oct-2019

Cooler Receipt Temp: 15.4 °C

Locations

Collinsville

Address 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road
Collinsville, IL 62234-7425
Phone (618) 344-1004
Fax (618) 344-1005
Email jhriley@teklabinc.com

Collinsville Air

Address 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road
Collinsville, IL 62234-7425
Phone (618) 344-1004
Fax (618) 344-1005
Email EHurley@teklabinc.com

Springfield

Address 3920 Pintail Dr
Springfield, IL 62711-9415
Phone (217) 698-1004
Fax (217) 698-1005
Email KKlostermann@teklabinc.com

Chicago

Address 1319 Butterfield Rd.
Downers Grove, IL 60515
Phone (630) 324-6855
Fax
Email arenner@teklabinc.com

Kansas City

Address 8421 Nieman Road
Lenexa, KS 66214
Phone (913) 541-1998
Fax (913) 541-1998
Email jhriley@teklabinc.com

Client: RJN Group**Work Order:** 19101439**Client Project:** NPDES/15-3069**Report Date:** 28-Oct-2019

State	Dept	Cert #	NELAP	Exp Date	Lab
Illinois	IEPA	100226	NELAP	1/31/2020	Collinsville
Kansas	KDHE	E-10374	NELAP	4/30/2020	Collinsville
Louisiana	LDEQ	166493	NELAP	6/30/2020	Collinsville
Louisiana	LDEQ	166578	NELAP	6/30/2020	Collinsville
Oklahoma	ODEQ	9978	NELAP	8/31/2020	Collinsville
Arkansas	ADEQ	88-0966		3/14/2020	Collinsville
Illinois	IDPH	17584		5/31/2021	Collinsville
Indiana	ISDH	C-IL-06		1/31/2020	Collinsville
Kentucky	KDEP	98006		12/31/2019	Collinsville
Kentucky	UST	0073		1/31/2020	Collinsville
Louisiana	LDPH	LA016		12/31/2019	Collinsville
Missouri	MDNR	930		1/31/2022	Collinsville
Missouri	MDNR	00930		5/31/2019	Collinsville
Tennessee	TDEC	04905		1/31/2020	Collinsville

Client: RJN Group
Client Project: NPDES/15-3069
Lab ID: 19101439-001
Matrix: AQUEOUS

Work Order: 19101439
Report Date: 28-Oct-2019
Client Sample ID: Upstream
Collection Date: 10/21/2019 11:16

Analyses	Certification	RL	Qual	Result	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
STANDARD METHODS 22ND ED. 9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER								
Fecal Coliform	*	100		6100	CFU/100ml	100	10/21/2019 14:04	R268434
EPA 1664A								
Hexane Extractable Material	NELAP	5		< 5	mg/L	1	10/24/2019 12:56	R268617
EPA 600 351.2								
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	NELAP	1.0		< 1.0	mg/L	1	10/22/2019 12:46	158540
EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R2.0								
Nitrogen, Total	*	1.0		< 1.0	mg/L	1	10/25/2019 0:00	R268591
EPA 600 353.2 R2.0 (TOTAL)								
Nitrogen, Nitrate-Nitrite (as N)	NELAP	0.050		0.467	mg/L	1	10/22/2019 21:16	R268472
EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL)								
Phosphorus, Total (as P)	NELAP	0.100		< 0.100	mg/L	1	10/22/2019 12:45	158537
STANDARD METHODS 2540 D 1997								
Total Suspended Solids	NELAP	6		< 6	mg/L	1	10/24/2019 15:18	R268558
STANDARD METHODS 4500-CL E (TOTAL) 1997								
Chloride	NELAP	20		35	mg/L	5	10/25/2019 23:20	R268657

Client: RJN Group
Client Project: NPDES/15-3069
Lab ID: 19101439-002
Matrix: AQUEOUS

Work Order: 19101439
Report Date: 28-Oct-2019
Client Sample ID: Downstream
Collection Date: 10/21/2019 11:44

Analyses	Certification	RL	Qual	Result	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
STANDARD METHODS 22ND ED. 9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER								
Fecal Coliform	*	100		2300	CFU/100ml	100	10/21/2019 14:04	R268434
EPA 1664A								
Hexane Extractable Material	NELAP	6		< 6	mg/L	1	10/24/2019 12:57	R268617
EPA 600 351.2								
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	NELAP	1.0		< 1.0	mg/L	1	10/22/2019 12:49	158540
EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R2.0								
Nitrogen, Total	*	1.0		5.1	mg/L	1	10/25/2019 0:00	R268591
EPA 600 353.2 R2.0 (TOTAL)								
Nitrogen, Nitrate-Nitrite (as N)	NELAP	1.00		4.32	mg/L	20	10/22/2019 21:20	R268472
EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL)								
Phosphorus, Total (as P)	NELAP	0.100		0.637	mg/L	1	10/22/2019 12:47	158537
STANDARD METHODS 2540 D 1997								
Total Suspended Solids	NELAP	6		16	mg/L	1	10/24/2019 15:18	R268558
STANDARD METHODS 4500-CL E (TOTAL) 1997								
Chloride	NELAP	8		90	mg/L	2	10/25/2019 23:23	R268657



Receiving Check List

<http://www.teklabinc.com/>

Client: RJN Group

Work Order: 19101439

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 28-Oct-2019

Carrier: Anthony Vitale

Received By: KMT

Completed by:

On:

21-Oct-2019

Amber M. Dilallo

Reviewed by:

On:

21-Oct-2019

Elizabeth A. Hurley

Pages to follow:

Chain of custody

1

Extra pages included

0

Shipping container/cooler in good condition?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Not Present ☐

Temp °C 15.4

Type of thermal preservation?

None ☐

Ice ☒

Blue Ice ☐

Dry Ice ☐

Chain of custody present?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Chain of custody agrees with sample labels?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Samples in proper container/bottle?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Sample containers intact?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Sufficient sample volume for indicated test?

Yes ☒

No ☐

All samples received within holding time?

Yes ☒

No ☐

Reported field parameters measured:

Field ☐

Lab ☐

NA ☒

Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance?

Yes ☒

No ☐

When thermal preservation is required, samples are compliant with a temperature between 0.1°C - 6.0°C, or when samples are received on ice the same day as collected.

Water - at least one vial per sample has zero headspace?

Yes ☐

No ☐

No VOA vials ☒

Water - TOX containers have zero headspace?

Yes ☐

No ☐

No TOX containers ☒

Water - pH acceptable upon receipt?

Yes ☒

No ☐

NA ☐

NPDES/CWA TCN interferences checked/treated in the field?

Yes ☐

No ☐

NA ☒

Any No responses must be detailed below or on the COC.

pg. of Work order # 1910439

TEKLAB, INC. 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road - Collinsville, IL 62234 - Phone: (618) 344-1004 - Fax: (618) 344-1005

Client:	RJN Group	
Address:	2000 South 8th St.	
City / State / Zip	St. Louis, MO 63104	
Contact:	Jennifer Gerwitz	Phone: (314) 588-9764
E-Mail:	jgerwitz@rjnmail.com	Fax:

Are these samples known to be involved in litigation? If yes, a surcharge will apply ☐ Yes ☒ No

Are these samples known to be hazardous? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Are there any required reporting limits to be met on the requested analysis?. If yes, please provide limits in the comment section. ☐ Yes ☒ No

[illegible]

Relinquished By	Date/Time
Anthony Tate	10/21/19 12:15

Samples on: ☒ ICE ☐ BLUE ICE ☐ NO ICE 15.4 °C LFC1
Preserved in: ☐ LAB ☒ FIELD FOR LAB USE ONLY
Lab Notes: #10121119

Client Comments

NOAA 0.25" 10/21

[illegible]

Received By	Date/Time
Wm Mc	10/21/19 1217

The individual signing this agreement on behalf of the client, acknowledges that he/she has read and understands the terms and conditions of this agreement, and that he/she has the authority to sign on behalf of the client. See www.teklabinc.com for terms and conditions.



BottleOrder: 53403

10/10



ST CLAIR COUNTY
NORMAN ETLING
1415 NORTH BELT WEST
BELLEVILLE, IL 62226



8/15/18

SUBJECT: NPDES PERMIT NO. ILR10Z496

Dear MR. ETLING,

Environmental Operations, Inc. (EOI), representing St. Clair County Soil & Water Conservation District, conducted an inspection of the FRANK SCOTT PARKWAY EAST WIDENING on 8/3/18. The purpose of the inspection was to review compliance with the requirements of your General NPDES permit number ILR10Z496. During the inspection, Marc Black of St. Clair County Dept of Roads & Bridges accompanied Julie Gibbs-Alley of EOI. This is to confirm the results of the inspection.

The Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) was reviewed and satisfactorily addresses the resource concerns.

There were no deficiencies found.

It was noted that the project had just begun. Silt fencing is installed. Additional fencing, inlet protection, & BMP's to be installed as needed.

A copy of this letter is being sent to the Illinois EPA to inform them of our inspection findings.

Thank you for your cooperation and if you have any questions or need additional information please call me at 314.480.4675.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Julie Gibbs-Alley".

Julie Gibbs-Alley, Project Manager
Environmental Operations, Inc.

CC: Wayne Caughman – IEPA
CC: Deana Poe

Ms. Valentine stated her office started their new inspection system this month with the tablets in the field. She stated it's a learning curve for the employees but believes in the long run the tablets will be more consistent for food inspections. She stated the new program has good features and they are also working to install a customer portal online.

MOTION by Seibert to approve the report. Second by Chartrand. Motion carried.

ZONING DIRECTOR'S REPORT - ANNE MARKEZICH

Ms. Markezich stated the Zoning Office is currently looking at new programs for the Zoning Department. She stated the IT Department has the current system running for at least one more year before it will have to be upgraded or replaced with a new system. She explained she is also looking for a system with a customer portal which will allow customers to apply for permits online. She also stated she would like to get the municipalities involved in the purchase of the software system so they can also use the program for permitting that will be tied to her office and the Assessor's Office. She stated this would be a way the County could offset some of the money it will cost for the new program.

Ms. Markezich stated she did not receive funding for a Deputy this year. She stated Code Enforcement will be difficult this season without the help from the Sheriff's Department.

Ms. Markezich stated she was told by Representative Jay Hoffman that the State of Illinois will be giving St. Clair County \$100,000 for demolition this year.

MOTION by Meile, to approve report. Motion carried.


CLEAN SWEEP PROGRAM

Clean Sweep disposed of 27.22-tons in November, 2019 and 47.17-tons in December, 2019. Clean Sweep disposed of 726.87 total tons for 2019.

BEN HENNING - STATE'S ATTORNEYS OFFICE

No report.

MOTION to adjourn by Meile, second by Easterley. Motion carried.



CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE


Norm Etling

Name

St. Clair County

Organization

has participated in the MS4 training that included “Annual Report Preparation” and “O & M Manuals” presented by Jennifer Gerwitz of RJN Group held at the Shiloh Senior Center located at 1 Park Drive in Shiloh, Illinois on **February 28, 2019** and is awarded **1 PDH**




Jennifer Gerwitz
Project Engineer
RJN Group, Inc.

rjngroup

The Choice for Collection System Solutions





CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE


Norm Etling

Name

St. Clair County Hwy

Organization

has participated in the MS4 training that included “Reducing Road Salt Use” presented by Danelle Haake from St. Louis University, Annual Reports, and Visual Water Sampling Training presented by Jennifer Gerwitz of RJN Group held at the Shiloh Senior Center located at 1 Park Drive in Shiloh, Illinois on **May 2, 2019** and is awarded **1 PDH**




Jennifer Gerwitz
Project Engineer
RJN Group, Inc.



rjngroup
Engineering infrastructure for tomorrow





CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE


Norm Etling

Name

St. Clair County

Organization

has participated in the MS4 training that included “Annual Operations Training”
presented by Jennifer Gerwitz from RJN Group and announcements regarding
upcoming IEPA audits with Wayne Caughman
held at the Shiloh Senior Center located at 7 Park Drive
in Shiloh, Illinois on **October 31, 2019** and is awarded **1 PDH**




Jennifer Gerwitz
Project Engineer
RJN Group, Inc.



Engineering infrastructure for tomorrow





CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE


James Harms

Name

St. Clair County

Organization

has participated in the MS4 training that included “Annual Report Preparation” and “O & M Manuals” presented by Jennifer Gerwitz of RJN Group held at the Shiloh Senior Center located at 1 Park Drive in Shiloh, Illinois on **February 28, 2019** and is awarded **1 PDH**




Jennifer Gerwitz
Project Engineer
RJN Group, Inc.

rjngroup

The Choice for Collection System Solutions





CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE


James Harms

Name

St. Clair County Hwy

Organization

has participated in the MS4 training that included “Reducing Road Salt Use” presented by Danelle Haake from St. Louis University, Annual Reports, and Visual Water Sampling Training presented by Jennifer Gerwitz of RJN Group held at the Shiloh Senior Center located at 1 Park Drive in Shiloh, Illinois on **May 2, 2019** and is awarded **1 PDH**




Jennifer Gerwitz
Project Engineer
RJN Group, Inc.



Engineering infrastructure for tomorrow





CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE


James Harms

Name

St. Clair County

Organization

has participated in the MS4 training that included “Annual Operations Training”
presented by Jennifer Gerwitz from RJN Group and announcements regarding
upcoming IEPA audits with Wayne Caughman
held at the Shiloh Senior Center located at 7 Park Drive
in Shiloh, Illinois on **October 31, 2019** and is awarded **1 PDH**



Jennifer Gerwitz
Project Engineer
RJN Group, Inc.



Engineering infrastructure for tomorrow

